

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,863	01/31/2005	Steven Donders	18584	5239
SCULLY SCO	7590 03/04/200 OTT, MURPHY & PRE		EXAM	INER
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA		HEINRICH, SAMUEL M		
SUITE 300 GARDEN CIT	Y. NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/522,863	DONDERS, STEVEN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Samuel M. Heinrich	1793	

	TOTOLL,OUG	Bonbene, oreven
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1793
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SNG (MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication) of Failure to reply within the six or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office laster than there months after the the thatlen Any reply received by the Office laster than there months after the Tenden The Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden - Any reply received by the Office laster than there months after the Tenden - Any reply received by the Office laster than there months after the Tenden - Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden - Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden Tenden - Tenden T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim- vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u>		
· ·	action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)		
is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
	_	to but he Evernines
10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority document		⊢(d) or (f).
Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau	•	a III III I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.
	,	
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/C8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	anri Application

nor Gi		

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,947,003 to Jepsen et al. Jepsen et al show (Front Page) a slide shoe 6 having elevated lands. The lands shown in Figure 1 inherently have flat level abutment surfaces for contact with plate 5. The method of manufacture does not impart patentability to the instant article claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,947,003 to Jepsen et al and in view of USPN 4.401.726 to Gnanamuthu.

AAPA describes (Specification Pages 1 and 2) well known reduction of friction between a swash plate and a guide block with an inserted slide part.

Art Unit: 1793

Jepsen et al show a slide shoe 6 having either raised features (Fig. 1) or a plastic material (Fig. 2).

Gnanamuthu describe (column 3, lines 43-61) applying dot or stripe coatings with a laser beam. Gnanamuthu's coatings are specific geometric shapes.

The application of raised coating features by using local non-contact fusion would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the AAPA intended use is known and because the feature shape and application methods are known in surface bearing structure arts.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,947,003 to Jepsen et al and in view of USPN 4,401,726 to Gnanamuthu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP359219468A.

JP359219468A describes (Abstract) irradiation with laser, electron, or plasma, and the use of any of these irradiation species would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because they have suitable high energy density.

Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,947,003 to Jepsen et al and in view of USPN 4,401,726 to Gnanamuthu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of USPN 4,595,429 to Le Caer et al.

Le Caer et al describe availability of filler in wire, strip or powder form and the use of any specie of these forms as a slide feature would have been obvious at the time

Art Unit: 1793

applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because Le Caer et al describe their use as surface coatings.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of USPN 5,947,003 to Jepsen et al and in view of USPN 4,401,726 to Gnanamuthu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US20030007709A1 to Schweigler et al.

Schweigler et al describe [0022] well known nonferrous metal, plastic, and ceramic bearing materials, and the use of any specie of these materials would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because they have suitable properties useful with known materials and known bearing applications.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 02, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. Further, they do not show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kleinedler et al, Inoue et al, Kristensen et al, and Dixen show slide surfaces having lands.

Art Unit: 1793

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,863 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793